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## Congestion is a global problem



Top 3 - Decreasing congestion


## The worst 20 cities in 2013

| Rank | Cl change | City | Country | Congestion | Morning peak | Evening peak | Highways | Non-Highways |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | V | Moscow | Russia | 74\% | 111\% | 141\% | 79\% | 72\% |
| 2 | A | Istanbul | Turkey | 62\% | 87\% | 129\% | 73\% | 54\% |
| 3 | --- | Palermo | Italy | 39\% | 60\% | 64\% | 29\% | 45\% |
| 4 | $V$ | Warsaw | Poland | 39\% | 71\% | 75\% | 37\% | 41\% |
| 5 | $V$ | Rome | Italy | 37\% | 71\% | 64\% | 26\% | 41\% |
| 6 | $V$ | Dublin | Ireland | 35\% | 74\% | 71\% | 27\% | 42\% |
| 7 | $V$ | Marseille | France | 35\% | 60\% | 70\% | 20\% | 41\% |
| 8 | --- | Paris | France | 35\% | 65\% | 65\% | 35\% | 35\% |
| 9 | A | London | United Kingdom | 34\% | 60\% | 63\% | 22\% | 40\% |
| 10 | $V$ | Athens | Greece | 34\% | 54\% | 49\% | 14\% | 40\% |
| 11 | A | Brussels | Belgium | 34\% | 73\% | 77\% | 31\% | 36\% |
| 12 | --- | Stockholm | Sweden | 30\% | 59\% | 66\% | 27\% | 33\% |
| 13 | $V$ | Stuttgart | Germany | 29\% | 49\% | 60\% | 28\% | 31\% |
| 14 | --- | Naples | Italy | 28\% | 43\% | 50\% | 13\% | 40\% |
| 15 | $V$ | Hamburg | Germany | 28\% | 45\% | 49\% | 22\% | 32\% |
| 16 | --- | Vienna | Austria | 28\% | 44\% | 50\% | 18\% | 33\% |
| 17 | A | Prague | Czech Republic | 28\% | 57\% | 48\% | 22\% | 31\% |
| 18 | V | Berlin | Germany | 27\% | 42\% | 49\% | 24\% | 30\% |
| 19 | --- | Milan | Italy | 27\% | 62\% | 52\% | 17\% | 33\% |
| 20 | A | Lyon | France | 27\% | 55\% | 53\% | 22\% | 31\% |




Traffic Bottleneck Analysis

## Floating Car Data provides new opportunities



## Example Speed Analysis for Amsterdam



## Example Congestion Analysis for Amsterdam



Example Congestion Analysis for Amsterdam



## Analysis Example Italy

## Case Study Citilabs - SPEA

Where: A14 Bologna S.Lazzaro - Castel S.Pietro
Castel S.Pietro - Imola
Imola - Dir.Ravenna
When: 2012, October \#1
2012, August, 15 \#2
What: SPEA - vehicular data of vehicle types: cars, motorcycles, caravan, trucks under 3,5 tons, collected by Tutor portals
TomTom - vehicular data collected by millions of TomTom navigation device, mainly from In car Dash Navigation

Purpose: compare data provided by SPEA and data derived from TomTom Floating car Data. This in order check the validity of Floating Car Data

## V\&B SOFTWARE CITILABS TOMTOM迷 SERVICES Ltd <br> business partner



## V\&B SOFTWARE

 SERVICES Ltd CITILABS TOMTOM*business partner

The data is compared for a specific segment. Speed data from the Ground Loops (Vel. CI. A) are compared with Floating Car Data (TomTom)


Central time zone of the day is highlighted in yellow, from 06:00 to 21:00

## V\&B SOFTWARE sERVICES Ltd

CITILABS TOMTOM*
business partner

Non-Loop analysis number 1
Approach to the Bologna San Lazzaro toll booth (dir. Bologna)


## V\&B SOFTWARE SERVICES Ltd <br> CITILABS TOMTOM* <br> business partner

Selection of segments of interest from TomTom shapefile


## V\&B SOFTWARE CITILABS TOMTOM垙 <br> SERVICES Ltd business partner

Analysis of TomTom average speed data in the approach, the transit and in the way out from of the toll booth


Non-Loop analysis number 2
Approach to the Ravenna Barrier toll booth (dir. Ravenna)


Analysis of a situation on interest \#2 approach to the Ravenna barrier (dir. Ravenna, 2012 August 15)

## V\&B SOFTWARE SERVICES Ltd <br> CITILABS TOMTOM* <br> business partner

Selection of segments of interest from TomTom shapefile


## V\&B SOFTWARE

 SERVICES Ltd
## CITILABS TOMTOM* <br> business partner

Analysis of TomTom average speed data in the approach, the transit and in the way out from of the toll booth



Real-Time Traffic Services

## Real Time Probe Data



## Traffic Incidents and Traffic Flow

File contains information ONLY for the road stretches affected by incident/congestion

Accurate delay, start and end location


O Current speed information on all relevant roads - both congested and freeflow

Easy GIS integration

## The 4 steps in accurate routing

4. TomTom Traffic<br>3. Speed Profiles<br>2. Map Share<br>1. Base maps


4. TomTom Traffic
3. Speed Profiles
2. Map Share

1. Base maps

2. TomTom Traffic
3. Speed Profiles
4. Map Share
5. Base maps

## Example: Driving to Frankfurt at 9AM Friday



## Example: Driving to Frankfurt at 5PM Friday



## Smart Planning



Travel Time: 62 minutes
Distance: 50.0 km

## Smart Planning



Travel Time: 58 minutes
Distance: 51.1 km

## Travel Time Isochrones (Service Areas)



TOMTOM

## Congestion Impact



Normal accessibility Area Accessible within 10 minutes of Origin Point

Exceptional accessibility 17:00 on a Friday Area Accessible within 10 minutes of Origin Point


## Questions?

