Predicting Drive Time to Care Sites using Great Circle Distance

Christopher L Richmond, Chase W Gatlin, and Mark F Guagliardo, PhD



Planning Systems Support Group Office of the Assistant Deputy Undersecretary for Health for Policy and Planning Veterans Health Administration ESRI Health GIS Conference October 18-19, 2010, Denver, CO

Overview

Background Travel time vs. straight line distance ■VHA special case Goal Methods Results Best national regression model Maps of regression residuals

Background

Network-based travel costs are best Not always available or attainable Various non-network estimates used Cartesian distances Euclidean distance (straight line) Manhattan distance Great circle distances Haversine Vincenty

Background (cont.)

 Time – direct distance correlation gets mixed reviews in literature

Goal

Develop the best possible regression model to predict drive time to VHA primary care stations from any residential point in the U.S. using x,y coordinates and easily obtainable residential area statistics.

Goal (cont.)

Rationale:

Need quicker turnaround for travel time analysis in the VHA
Smaller ad hoc analyses by other VA offices

Data Origination points ■ 500,000 geocoded VHA enrollee addresses (x,y) Destination points ■ 932 VHA primary care sites (x,y) County and census tract attributes bundled by ArcGIS 9.3

Travel time estimation Software Used: ESRI ArcMap Version 9.3 Street Reference Data: StreetMap Premium North America Tele Atlas Centrus Group 1 Geocoder Drive time and drive distance calculated to the nearest VA Health Care Facility and included in each enrollee record

Great circle distance calculation
Used SAS variable array processing to discover nearest of 932 sites
distance = geodist(person_lat, person_lon, site_latit(i), site_lon(i), 'DM')

Great circle distance calculation

 9.3% of the 500,000 enrollees had a different "nearest site" by vincenty distance versus drive time estimation

Drive *distance* - vincenty distance

• mean = 4.0 miles

- s.d. = 4.8 miles
- $\min = 0.0$ miles
- max = 88 miles

Distance Type Differences Mapped



Predictor variables <u>URH</u> - a VHA indicator of rurality Census tract population Census tract population density Census tract percent minority Census tract percent owning home County crop acreage

Building the regression modelSAS PROC GLMSELECT

- Allows stepwise selection of predictor variables
- Makes use of categorical predictors easy
- Allows split-sample development of models



Analysis of Va	ariance					
Source	DF	Sum of				
		Squares	Mean			
			Square	F Value	Pr > F	
Model	6	198082620	33013770	831211	<.0001	
Error	498512	19799731	39.71766			
Corrected Tot	498518	217882351				

Results

 Root MSE
 6.30220

 Dependent Mean
 22.55120

 Coeff Var
 27.94616



0.9091

0.9091

Results

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	t Value	$\mathbf{Pr} > \mathbf{t} $
Intercept	1	0.64645	0.03787	17.07	<.0001
vincentydist	1	1.55105	0.00090043	1722.56	<.0001
URH_R	1	2.54448	0.02242	113.51	<.0001
URH_H	1	10.42304	0.08092	128.80	<.0001
PercentMin	1	-0.00385	0.00039966	-9.64	<.0001
percentowned	1	0.02777	0.00044578	62.30	<.0001
CROP_ACR97	1	-0.00000355	6.488861E-8	-54.74	<.0001

Residuals Mapped



Residuals Mapped



Residuals Mapped of Same Site Matches



Residuals Mapped of Same Site Matches



Residuals Mapped of Unmatched Site Assignments



Geographically Weighted Regression

Using tool in ArcGIS 9.3
Same independent variables and predictors
Fixed kernel search distance of 100 miles
Default bandwidth method (Akaike information criterion)

Geographically Weighted Regression

R-square = 0.9377

Regression parameters vary spatially, so not practical for our purposes

Next Steps

Try some interaction terms
Seek additional predictor variables
Experiment with other GWR options

Limitations

VHA regression formula may not be appropriate for other applications

Thank you.