

Determining the Optimal Location for a Municipal Solid Waste Facility

Paul E. Ledesma

Environmental Services Department

Watershed Protection Division

City of San Jose

paul.ledesma@sanjoseca.gov

J. M. (Mike) Pogodzinski

Department of Economics

San Jose State University

J.m.pogodzinski@gmail.com

Outline of Presentation

- Waste disposal as a public policy issue
- Waste disposal as a public finance/economic issue for municipalities
- The geography of waste disposal: the case of San Jose, California
- Optimal waste disposal – waste disposal as a Linear Programming (LP) Problem
 - The Primal LP Problem
 - The Dual LP Problem
 - Interpreting the dual solution values – “shadow” prices
 - The geography of the optimal solution
 - Comparing the optimal solution with the actual solution
 - Sensitivity analysis

Waste Disposal as a Public Policy Issue

- Background: How waste disposal is handled by public sector authorities in California
 - Role of state regulation
 - Role of municipalities
 - Role of waste haulers
 - Role of landfill owners
- The Capacity Constraint of Landfills
 - Economic determinants
 - Physical (topographical) determinants
 - Regulatory determinants

Waste Disposal as a Public Policy Issue

- Mandates of California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 - AB 939
- Zero Waste (ZW) policies

Waste Disposal as a Public Finance/Economic Issue for Municipalities

- Types of Solid Waste
 - Garbage
 - Recycling
 - Compost
- Different landfills have **different capacities** for accepting different types of solid waste
- Different landfills have different **tipping fees** for different types of solid waste – under some circumstances the tipping fees may be negative (revenue enhancing)
- Some municipalities are **both demanders and suppliers** of landfill capacity – so they may be net beneficiaries of greater streams of some types of solid waste

The Geography of Solid Waste



Optimal Waste Disposal

The municipality's waste disposal problem as a
Linear Programming (LP) Problem

Elements of a Linear Programming Problem

- Linear objective function
- Linear inequality constraints
- Non-negativity constraints

Optimal Waste Disposal

General formulation of the Waste Disposal LP Problem

- The municipality's objective: minimize total *net* spending on waste disposal
 - Net spending is the tipping fee plus the transportation cost
- The municipality's constraints
 - Given levels of three streams of waste (garbage, recycling, compost) to be disposed of
 - In some cases, *contracted limits* for certain landfills applicable to certain streams of waste

Notes on the Optimal Waste Disposal Problem

Municipality is interested *in the long run* in the optimal solution even if, at the moment, it has an advantageous contract with a hauler. If the hauler's profits are limited, the costs will rise when the next contract is negotiated. So the municipality is interested in the cost including the transportation cost even if that has been included in the hauler's contract.

Per mile transportation costs most affected by whether truck or rail is used

The Solid Waste (Primal) Linear Programming Problem

- The Objective Function
- The Linear Inequality Constraints
- The Non-negativity Constraints

Optimal Waste Disposal Notation

G_f is garbage sent to facility f

R_f is recycling sent to facility f

C_f is compost sent to facility f

m_f is distance in miles to facility f

G = total volume of solid waste to be sent

R = total amount of recycling to be sent

C = total amount of compostable to be sent

k_{tf} = cost (per ton) of sending type t waste to site f

t_{tf} = cost per ton per mile (transportation charge) of sending one ton to waste of type t
one mile to facility f

$ncpt_{tf}$ = net cost per ton of sending type t waste to facility f where $ncpt_{tf} = (k_{tf} + m_f * t_{tf})$

Solving the Solid Waste (Primal) Linear Programming Problem

- The Simplex Algorithm
- Using Excel Solver
- Using R

Excel Implementation of the Solid Waste (Primal) Linear Programming Problem (PROTOTYPE MODEL)

STREAMS (yrs)			LANDFILLS	Alameda Landfill	Neely Island	De Montrose Landfill	Osborne Road Landfill	Foreward Landfill	Japan Park's Organic Grower Composting	Fac 2-Best Composting	
Garbage	G	1090	G_1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Recycling	R	1000	R_1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction	C	1200	C_1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			R_{12}	33	37	22	42	39	106	42	75
			R_{13}	90	90	49.5	17.8	15.1	90	16.3	87.8
TOTAL NET COST		0	R_{14}	200	100	55	42	18	100	41	75
			T_{15}	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
			T_{16}	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
			T_{17}	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
			T_{18}	35	35	40	150	75	95	85	37
			R_{19}	11500	4000	1500	3000	4350	0	0	0
			R_{20}	30000	2000	10000	10000	10000	0	0	0
			R_{21}	3000	500	0	0	0	750	125	1500
			NDP_{12}	81	42	75	117	76.5	117.5	102.5	112.5
			NDP_{13}	110	90	49.5	112.8	124	117.5	96	209
			NDP_{14}	128	105	75	117	76.5	117.5	102.5	112.5

Excel Solution to the Primal Solid Waste Linear Programming Problem

The spreadsheet displays the following data:

STREAMS (opt)	LANDFILL	Altamont Landfill	Newby Island	Ox Mountain Landfill	Osborn Road Landfill	Farwest Landfill	Jagson Prairie	Organics Grover	Composting Far	2-Best Composting	
Garbage	1800	1600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1600
Recycling	3000	0	3000	2,842,176-14	0	0	0	0	0	0	3000
Compost	2200	0	0	0	0	0	190	125	120	0	2200
Size of Streams		37	37	15	42	39	100	65	75		
TOTAL NET COST	275,700	96	90	49.5	57.8	15.1	90	58.5	67.5		
		100	100	75	42	39	100	65	75		
		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		
		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		
		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		
		39	10	42	117	78.5	99	65	75		
		11300	4000	2088	3000	4030	0	0	0		
		10000	2000	10300	10000	18000	0	0	0		
		3080	880	0	0	0	750	125	1500		
		61	42	75	117	78.5	137.5	102.5	112.5		
		158	80	69.5	112.8	79.8	107.3	86	101		
		128	188	75	117	78.5	137.5	102.5	112.5		

Annotations in the image include:

- Red circles around the values 1800, 3000, 2200, and 275,700.
- Red arrows pointing to the "Size of Streams" row and the "TOTAL NET COST" cell.
- Red arrows pointing to the "Altamont Landfill" column and the "Gross cost per ton" row.
- Red arrows pointing to the "tipping fees" column, the "per mile" column, and the "daily capacities" column.
- Green circles highlighting the "tipping fees" and "per mile" columns.

Text annotations include:

- "Minimum Cost Per Day for All Types of Waste" pointing to the "TOTAL NET COST" cell.
- "Gross cost per ton (including transportation cost) - note I will change notation to gcpt" pointing to the "Gross cost per ton" row.

The Geography of the Optimal Solution for the City of San Jose

Yellow
Star – all
garbage

Green
Square –
all
recycling

Brown Dots -
compost



The Dual Linear Programming Problem

- The Fundamental Theorem of Linear Programming
- What is the Dual Linear Programming Problem?
- How does it relate to the Primal Linear Programming Problem?

The Dual Linear Programming Problem

- Duality in Linear Programming
- Duality in a broader mathematical context

Policy Analysis Based on the Linear Programming Approach

- The significance of “shadow prices”
- Other perturbations of the Primal LP Problem
- The LP Problem and Cost-Benefit Analysis

Questions or Comments?

Paul E. Ledesma

Environmental Services Department

Watershed Protection Division

City of San Jose

paul.ledesma@sanjoseca.gov

J. M. (Mike) Pogodzinski

Department of Economics

San Jose State University

J.m.pogodzinski@gmail.com