

GIS helps to understand prospectivity of shale plays

Roman Boros, Ph.D.



RYSTAD ENERGY

2015 ESRI International User Conference
San Diego, July 21, 2015

This document is the property of Rystad Energy. The document must not be reproduced or distributed in any forms, in parts or full without permission from Rystad Energy. The information contained in this document is based on Rystad Energy's global oil & gas database UCUBE, public information from company presentations, industry reports, and other, general research by Rystad Energy. The document is not intended to be used on a stand-alone basis but in combination with other material or in discussions. The document is subject to revisions. Rystad Energy is not responsible for actions taken based on information in this document.



RYSTAD ENERGY

Abstract

Production of oil and gas from shale and tight formations from North America has transformed the global energy landscape. Understanding the prospectivity of shale plays is critical for successful development decisions.

This paper will present an automated GIS approach for screening for prospective areas of shale plays globally, using the proved methodology and analogies from North America shale plays. Simplified GIS valuation model is based on multiple functions of ArcGIS Spatial Analyst.


Global prospective shale plays

Even though direct extraction of oil and gas from the source rock is currently only actively developed in America (United States, Canada and Argentina), other nations have the geological capabilities to develop shale resources, too. Rystad Energy has studied the geology and economic conditions for more than 200 known shale formations outside North America.



North America shale prospectivity

Rystad Energy developed a GIS method to estimate the prospectivity throughout the shale play. This automated method does not attempt to replace expert geologic exploration research, but it brings rather simplified GIS valuation model, which enables relative comparison of shale play acreage. It observes variation of geological parameters across the plays and determines zones, which are more prospective than others, using the proved analogies from North America shale plays. The GIS valuation model looks for optimal combination of key geological parameters, such as play depth, thermal maturity and thickness, in order to identify play fairways.



All US and CA prospectivity maps are part of Rystad Energy's North American Shale Analysis (NASAnalysis).
More information: <http://www.rystadenergy.com/ResearchProducts/NASAnalysis>

General principles in valuation approach (shale prospectivity model)

General principles

- Common approach for valuation of all shale plays
 - final valuation (play prospectivity) as the result of a weighted combination of input parameters represented by the score intervals
- Key geological parameters used as inputs
 - thickness of the play (source: isopach map or well data)
 - depth to the top of the play (source: map with depth isolines or well data)
 - thermal maturity (source: vitrinite isorefractance* map or maps with thermal maturity zones or well data)
 - *The study of vitrinite reflectance is a key method for identifying the temperature history of sediments in sedimentary basins.*
 - Value ranges:*
 - < 0.6% - thermally immature*
 - 0.6%-0.8% - oil*
 - 0.8%-1.3% - wet gas (condensate)*
 - 1.3%-2.0% - dry gas*
 - >2.0% - thermally overmature (overcooked)*
- Additional parameters
 - spatial extend of various formations within the play and their overlapping zones
 - Total organic carbon (TOC)
- Data sources
 - generally available maps or well data in scientific papers, governmental institutions (USGS, EIA, etc.)

Workflow

- Step 1: Data capture
- Step 2: Initial geo-processing
- Step 3: Reclassification
- Step 4: Map algebra

Tools

- ArcMap Editor
- Spatial Analyst extension

GIS methods and the workflow

Step 1: Data capture

Step 2: Initial geo-processing

Step 3: Reclassification

Step 4: Map algebra

Raster map sources

- Georeferencing
 - correct geo-positioning of the source geological map
- Digitalization
 - point features with attributes (e.g. sample well locations on the map with the information about the depth to the top of a play, total organic carbon (TOC), or vitrinite reflectance (%Ro), etc.)
 - polyline features with attributes (e.g. isopach, depth isolines, etc.)
 - polygon features with attributes (e.g. thermal maturity windows, extend of a geologic formation, etc.)

Vector data sources

- Import/conversion
 - tabular data, CSV text files into geodatabase

GIS methods and the workflow



Step 1: Data capture

Step 2: Initial geo-processing

Step 3: Reclassification

Step 4: Map algebra

Input examples: Barnett Shale play



Source: MONTGOMERY, S.L.; JARVIE, D.M. et al.: Mississippian Barnett Shale, Fort Worth basin, north-central Texas: Gas-shale play with multi-trillion cubic foot potential. AAPG Bulletin, V. 89, No. 2, 2005. PP. 155-175

GIS methods and the workflow

Step 1: Data capture

Step 2: Initial geo-processing

Step 3: Reclassification

Step 4: Map algebra

Point or linear input data

- Interpolation
 - TOPO TO RASTER or NATURAL NEIGHBOR interpolation tools
 - Input: previously digitalized point or line data
 - Output: continuous value rasters

Polygon input data

- Features to raster conversion
 - Input: polygon outline of a formation, thermal maturity window area, etc.
 - Output: interval (discrete) value rasters

GIS methods and the workflow


Step 1: Data capture

Step 2: Initial geo-processing


Step 3: Reclassification

Step 4: Map algebra

Initial geo-processing example: Permian Basin stacked formations



Polygon outlines of the selected formations converted into the rasters and overlaid together into a single discrete value raster



GIS methods and the workflow

Step 1: Data capture

Step 2: Initial geo-processing

Step 3: Reclassification

Step 4: Map algebra

- Continuous or interval raster values into the new intervals representing the score categories defined for each input raster dataset
- Score range from 5 (for the best value intervals of the input parameters) to 1 (or zero) for least suitable areas.
- Score 0 area: cut-off areas (e.g. immature, overmature, zero thickness, extremely deep play)

GIS methods and the workflow

Step 1: Data capture


Step 2: Initial geo-processing

Step 3: Reclassification

Step 4: Map algebra

Reclassification example:
Barnett Shale play

Reclassification



Depth intervals (feet):


0 to 1,000 OR > 15,000	→ score 1
1,000 to 3,000 OR 12,000 to 15,000	→ score 2
3,000 to 4,000 OR 8,000 to 12,000	→ score 3
4,000 to 5,000 OR 7,000 to 8,000	→ score 4
5,000 to 7,000	→ score 5

Thickness intervals (feet):

0 to 100	→ score 1
100 to 200	→ score 2
200 to 400	→ score 3
400 to 500	→ score 4
> 500	→ score 5

Vitrinite Reflectance intervals (Ro):

0.0 to 0.6 OR > 2.0	→ score 0
0.6 to 0.7 OR 1.9 to 2.0	→ score 2
0.7 to 0.8 OR 1.4 to 1.9	→ score 3
0.8 to 1.1 OR 1.3 to 1.4	→ score 4
1.1 to 1.3	→ score 5



GIS methods and the workflow

Step 1: Data capture

Step 2: Initial geo-processing

Step 3: Reclassification

Step 4: Map algebra

- Weighted combination of reclassified score raster datasets → valuation raster map
- Weight factors equal for all inputs or specific for certain plays
- 0 score areas (cut-off areas) must stay with 0 value

Raster calculator:

```
[Ro_bin] = Test ([Ro_score], 'value > 0')
[Depth_bin] = Test ([Depth_score], 'value > 0')
[Thickness_bin] = Test ([Thickness_score], 'value > 0')

[Valuation_raster] = [Ro_bin] * [Depth_bin] * [Thickness_bin] *
* (0.33 * [Depth_score] + 0.33 * [Thickness_score] + 0.34 * [Ro_score])
```

GIS methods and the workflow


Step 1: Data capture

Step 2: Initial geo-processing


Step 3: Reclassification

Step 4: Map algebra

Map algebra example: Barnett Shale play



Weighted overlay






Benchmarking the result - sweet spots vs. well spacing (Barnett Shale play)

The majority of horizontal wells drilled in Barnett shale after 2010 are located in high prospectivity areas from valuation model.




Well spacing in play fairways (Tier 1, 2 and 3) is analysed by the Kernel Density tool (Special Analyst extension).

Tier	Well spacing (acres/well)
1	290
2	761
3	21,947




Practical application – relative comparison of company portfolios (Barnett Shale play)


Percentage of company acreage by play prospectivity




Applying the analogies from NA shale plays to global shales plays (Poland Silurian Shale)



Reclassification →




↑
Weighted
overlay




Original Source Data: Polish Geological Institute


Applying the analogies from NA shale plays to global shales plays (Vaca Muerta play in Argentina)



Reclassification →



↑
Weighted
overlay



Limitations of the model


Play prospectivity model is based on combination of selected key geologic parameters, common approach for all shale plays.

It does not take into account any external objective factors, such as topography:

– hardly accessible mountain area, or dense urban areas may limit E&P activity significantly.

Example:

Northeastern and western areas of Longmaxi shale (China) with relatively high prospectivity are located in mountainous terrain, which prioritizes the prospectivity trends just east of Chongqing city.



Distribution of the results

All US and CA prospectivity maps are part of Rystad Energy's North American Shale Analysis (**NASAnalysis**).

NASAnalysis is a range of data products on shale gas and tight oil developments in the USA and Canada. Data can be utilized to improve market analysis, investment decisions or peer group benchmarking.

More information:
<http://www.rystadenergy.com/ResearchProducts/NASAnalysis>

A sample of content of [NASMaps](#) is published in Rystad Energy's **ArcGIS Online** portal. (on the right)

Global shale map was published in the OIL&GAS JOURNAL in May 2014, with updated version in 2015. (shown on the next slide)

<https://re.maps.arcgis.com/apps/OnePane/basicviewer/index.html?&appid=7bcc9027aa2446af8378025fca0c8d10>

